UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,044	01/17/2006	Joo-Ho Kim	0001.1045	1715
49455 STEIN MCEW	7590 07/29/200 EN, LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR		BERNATZ, KEVIN M		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@smiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/529,044	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin M. Bernatz	1794	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Al application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed w periods:	or other evidence, which places the ith 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 C	void dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, w (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	vill <u>not</u> be entered because E below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially redu appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject (See 27 CER 1.116 and 41.32(a))	eted claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Com	unliant Amandment (BTOL 324)
 In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Notice of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Notice of	pliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tire. 	mely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	mely med amendment cancering the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	oe entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1 and 5</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,7,8 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the d entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See	and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after ent REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in a See Continuation Sheet.	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
	/Kevin M Bernatz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 July 24, 2009

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to remove the recitation of AuOx, leaving only TaOx as the mask layer results in new embodiments that would require further search and/or consideration. I.e. previously, either TaOx or AuOx was sufficient to read on the relevant claims..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to the unentered amendment. In so far as they apply to the rejection of record, the Examiner acknowledges that a plurality of references appear to render the claims to SiOx mask layers as obvious. Likewise, the Examiner acknowledges that while Moritani et al. disclose AuOx mask layers, Moritani et al. is silent with regard to TaOx mask layers. However, additional search and/or consideration would be required before any indication of allowability, since the obviousness of a TaOx mask layer (solely) was not previously required..